

Lecture 2:


Drawing a Triangle

(+ the basics of sampling and anti-aliasing)


Computer Graphics: Rendering, Geometry, and Image Manipulation
Stanford CS248A, Winter 2023

Last time

- A very simple notion of digital image representation (that we are about to challenge!)
- An image = a 2D array of color values



Last time: what pixels should we color in to draw a line?



Light up all pixels intersected by the line?


Today: drawing a triangle

(Converting a representation of a triangle into an image)

"Triangle rasterization"


Input:

2D position of triangle vertices: P_0, P_1, P_2




Output:

set of pixels "covered" by the triangle



Idea: let's call a pixel "inside" the triangle if the pixel center is inside the triangle



= triangle covers center point, should color in pixel




= triangle does not cover center point, do not color in pixel

**Today we will draw triangles using a simple method:
point sampling
(testing whether a specific points are inside the triangle)**


**Before talking about sampling in 2D, let's consider sampling
in 1D first...**

Consider a 1D signal: $f(x)$



Sampling: taking measurements of a signal

Below: five measurements ("samples") of $f(x)$



Audio file: stores samples of a 1D signal

Audio is often sampled at 44.1 KHz



Sampling a function


- Evaluating a function at a point is sampling the function's value

- We can discretize a function by periodic sampling


```
for(int x = 0; x < xmax; x++)  
    output[x] = f(x);
```

- Sampling is a core idea in graphics. In this class we'll sample time (1D), area (2D), angle (2D), volume (3D), etc ...

Reconstruction: given a set of samples, how might we attempt to reconstruct the original (continuous) signal $f(x)$?




Reconstruction: given a set of samples, how might we attempt to reconstruct the original (continuous) signal $f(x)$?



Piecewise constant approximation


$f_{recon}(x)$ = value of sample closest to x

$f_{recon}(x)$ approximates $f(x)$




Piecewise linear approximation

$f_{recon}(x)$ = linear interpolation between values of two closest samples to x




How can we represent the signal more accurately?



Answer: sample signal more densely (increase sampling rate)

Reconstruction from sparse sampling


(5 samples)



..... = reconstruction via linear interpolation

More accurate reconstructions result from denser sampling


(9 samples)



— = reconstruction via linear interpolation

More accurate reconstructions result from denser sampling

(17 samples)



..... = reconstruction via linear interpolation

Drawing a triangle by 2D sampling




Image as a 2D matrix of pixels


Here I'm showing a 10 x 5 pixel image

Identify pixel by its integer (x,y) coordinates


(0,0)	(1,0)								(9,0)
(0,1)	(1,1)								
(0,4)									(9,4)

Continuous coordinate space over image


Ok, now forget about pixels!



Define binary function: `inside(tri, x, y)`


$$\text{inside}(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & (x, y) \text{ in triangle } t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$


Sampling the binary function: `inside(tri, x, y)`



 = triangle covers sample, should color in pixel


 = triangle does not cover sample, do not color in pixel

Sample coverage at pixel centers



Sample coverage at pixel centers

I only want you to think about evaluating triangle-point coverage!
NOT TRIANGLE-PIXEL OVERLAP!




Rasterization = sampling a 2D binary function

- Rasterize triangle `tri` by sampling the function


`f(x, y) = inside(tri, x, y)`

```
for (int x = 0; x < xmax; x++)  
    for (int y = 0; y < ymax; y++)  
        image[x][y] = f(x + 0.5, y + 0.5);
```

Evaluating `inside(tri, x, y)`



Triangle = intersection of three half planes




Point-slope form of a line

(You might have seen this in high school)

$$y - y_0 = m(x - x_0)$$


$$m = \frac{y_1 - y_0}{x_1 - x_0}$$




Each line defines two half-planes

■ Implicit line equation

- $L(x,y) = Ax + By + C$
- **On the line:** $L(x,y) = 0$
- **“Negative side” of line:** $L(x,y) < 0$
- **“Positive” side of line:** $L(x,y) > 0$




Line equation derivation




$$T = P_1 - P_0 = (x_1 - x_0, y_1 - y_0)$$

Line equation derivation




Line equation derivation

$$N = \text{Perp}(T) = (y_1 - y_0, -(x_1 - x_0))$$



Line equation derivation


Now consider a point $P=(x,y)$.
Which side of the line is it on?



$$V = P - P_0 = (x - x_0, y - y_0)$$


Line equation tests

$$L(x, y) = V \cdot N > 0$$




Line equation tests

$$L(x, y) = V \cdot N = 0$$




Line equation tests



Line equation derivation

$$\begin{aligned}L(x, y) &= V \cdot N = -(y - y_0)(x_1 - x_0) + (x - x_0)(y_1 - y_0) \\ &= (y_1 - y_0)x - (x_1 - x_0)y + y_0(x_1 - x_0) - x_0(y_1 - y_0) \\ &= Ax + By + C\end{aligned}$$



$$V = P - P_0 = (x - x_0, y - y_0)$$

$$N = \text{Perp}(T) = (y_1 - y_0, -(x_1 - x_0))$$

Point-in-triangle test

$$P_i = (X_i, Y_i)$$

$$A_i = dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i$$

$$B_i = -dX_i = X_i - X_{i+1}$$


$$C_i = Y_i(X_{i+1} - X_i) - X_i(Y_{i+1} - Y_i)$$

$$L_i(x, y) = dY_i x - dX_i y + C_i$$

$L_i(x, y) = 0$: point on edge

> 0 : outside edge

< 0 : inside edge



Point-in-triangle test

$$P_i = (X_i, Y_i)$$

$$A_i = dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i$$

$$B_i = -dX_i = X_i - X_{i+1}$$


$$C_i = Y_i(X_{i+1} - X_i) - X_i(Y_{i+1} - Y_i)$$

$$L_i(x, y) = dY_i x - dX_i y + C_i$$

$L_i(x, y) = 0$: point on edge

> 0 : outside edge

< 0 : inside edge



$$L_1(x, y) < 0$$

Point-in-triangle test

$$P_i = (X_i, Y_i)$$

$$A_i = dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i$$

$$B_i = -dX_i = X_i - X_{i+1}$$


$$C_i = Y_i(X_{i+1} - X_i) - X_i(Y_{i+1} - Y_i)$$

$$L_i(x, y) = dY_i x - dX_i y + C_i$$

$L_i(x, y) = 0$: point on edge

> 0 : outside edge

< 0 : inside edge



$$L_2(x, y) < 0$$

Point-in-triangle test

Sample point $s = (sx, sy)$ is inside the triangle if it is inside all three edges.


$inside(sx, sy) =$

$L_0(sx, sy) < 0 \ \&\&$

$L_1(sx, sy) < 0 \ \&\&$

$L_2(sx, sy) < 0;$


Note: actual implementation of $inside(sx, sy)$ involves \leq checks based on the triangle coverage edge rules (see next slide)



Sample points inside triangle are highlighted red.


Edge cases (literally)

Is this sample point covered by triangle 1? or triangle 2? or both?



OpenGL/Direct3D edge rules

- When edge falls directly on a screen sample point, the sample is classified as within triangle if the edge is a “top edge” or “left edge”
 - Top edge: horizontal edge that is above all other edges
 - Left edge: an edge that is not exactly horizontal and is on the left side of the triangle. (triangle can have one or two left edges)



Finding covered samples: incremental triangle traversal

$$P_i = (X_i, Y_i)$$

$$A_i = dY_i = Y_{i+1} - Y_i$$

$$B_i = dX_i = X_{i+1} - X_i$$

$$C_i = Y_i(X_{i+1} - X_i) - X_i(Y_{i+1} - Y_i)$$

$$L_i(x, y) = dY_i x - dX_i y + C_i$$

$$L_i(x, y) = 0 : \text{point on edge}$$
$$> 0 : \text{outside edge}$$
$$< 0 : \text{inside edge}$$

Efficient incremental update:


$$L_i(x+1, y) = L_i(x, y) + dY_i = L_i(x, y) + A_i$$

$$L_i(x, y+1) = L_i(x, y) - dX_i = L_i(x, y) + B_i$$

Incremental update saves computation:

Only one addition per edge, per sample test

Many traversal orders are possible: backtrack, zig-zag, Hilbert/Morton curves




Modern approach: tiled triangle traversal

Traverse triangle in blocks


Test all samples in block against triangle in parallel

Advantages:

- Simplicity of parallel execution overcomes cost of extra point-in-triangle tests (most triangles are big enough to cover many samples)
- Can skip sample testing work: entire block not in triangle ("early out"), entire block entirely within triangle ("early in")
- Additional advantages related to accelerating occlusion computations (not discussed today)




All modern graphics processors (GPUs) have special-purpose hardware for efficiently performing point-in-triangle tests



Recall: pixels on a screen


**Each image sample sent to the display is converted into a little square of light of the appropriate color:
(a pixel = picture element)**

**LCD display pixel on
my laptop**




*** Thinking of each LCD pixel as emitting a square of uniform intensity light of a single color is a bit of an approximation to how real displays work, but it will do for now.**

So, if we send the display this sampled signal...



...and each value determines the light emitted from a pixel...


The display physically emits this signal



Given our simplified “square pixel” display assumption, the emitted light is a piecewise constant reconstruction of the samples


Compare: the continuous triangle function

(This is the function we sampled)





What's wrong with this picture?

(This is the reconstruction emitted by the display)




Jaggies!

Jaggies (staircase pattern)




Is this the best we can do?

Reminder: how can we represent a sampled signal more accurately?



Sampling using one sample per pixel




Supersampling: step 1

Sample the input signal more densely in the image plane

In this example: take 2 x 2 samples in the area spanned by a pixel


2x2 supersampling



(but how do we use these samples to drive a display, since there are four times more samples than display pixels!). 🤔

Supersampling: step 2


Average the $N \times N$ samples "inside" each pixel



Averaging down

Supersampling: step 2


Average the $N \times N$ samples "inside" each pixel



Averaging down

Supersampling: step 2

Average the $N \times N$ samples "inside" each pixel



Averaging down



Displayed result

This is the corresponding signal emitted by the display


(value provided to each display pixel is the average of the values sampled in that region)

			75%			
		100%	100%	50%		
	25%	50%	50%	50%		

Images rendered using one sample per pixel



4x4 supersampling + downsampling





Each pixel's value is the average of the values of the 4x4 samples per pixel

**Let's understand what just happened
in a more principled way**

More examples of sampling artifacts in computer graphics

Jaggies (staircase pattern)



Moiré patterns in imaging



Full resolution image



**1/2 resolution image:
skip pixel odd rows and columns**

lystit.com

Wagon wheel illusion (false motion)



Camera's frame rate (temporal sampling rate) is too low for rapidly spinning wheel.


Created by Jesse Mason, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q0wzkND_ooU

Sampling artifacts in computer graphics


- **Artifacts due to sampling - “Aliasing”**
 - **Jaggies – sampling too sparsely in space**
 - **Wagon wheel effect – sampling too sparsely in time**
 - **Moiré – undersampling images (and texture maps)**
 - **[Many more] ...**

- **We notice this in fast-changing signals, when we sample the signal too sparsely**

Sines and cosines



$$\cos 2\pi x$$




$$\sin 2\pi x$$

Frequencies


$$f = \frac{1}{T}$$

$$\cos 2\pi f x$$



$$f = 1$$

$$\cos 2\pi x$$




$$f = 2$$


$$\cos 4\pi x$$

Representing sound wave as a superposition (linear combination) of frequencies


$$f_1(x) = \sin(\pi x)$$




$$f_2(x) = \sin(2\pi x)$$




$$f_4(x) = \sin(4\pi x)$$



$$f(x) = 1.0 f_1(x) + 0.75 f_2(x) + 0.5 f_4(x)$$



Audio spectrum analyzer: representing sound as a sum of its constituent frequencies




↑
Intensity of
low-frequencies (bass)

↑
Intensity of
high frequencies

Images as a superposition of cosines

$$\cos \left[\pi \frac{i}{N} \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \times \cos \left[\pi \frac{j}{N} \left(y + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]$$


8x8 images



=

-415	-30	-61	27	56	-20	-2	0
4	-22	-61	10	13	-7	-9	5
-47	7	77	-25	-29	10	5	-6
-49	12	34	-15	-10	6	2	2
12	-7	-13	-4	-2	2	-3	3
-8	3	2	-6	-2	1	4	2
-1	0	0	-2	-1	-3	4	-1
0	0	-1	-4	-1	0	1	2

x




How to compute frequency-domain representation of a signal?

Fourier transform

Represent a function as a weighted sum of sines and cosines



Joseph Fourier 1768 - 1830



$$f(x) = \frac{A}{2} + \frac{2A \cos(t\omega)}{\pi} - \frac{2A \cos(3t\omega)}{3\pi} + \frac{2A \cos(5t\omega)}{5\pi} - \frac{2A \cos(7t\omega)}{7\pi} + \dots$$

Fourier transform

- Convert representation of signal from primal domain (spatial/temporal) to frequency domain by projecting signal into its component frequencies

$$F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \omega} dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) (\cos(2\pi\omega x) - i \sin(2\pi\omega x)) dx$$


Recall:

$$e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$$

- 2D form:


$$F(u, v) = \int \int f(x, y) e^{-2\pi i (ux + vy)} dx dy$$

The Fourier transform decomposes a signal into its constituent frequencies




Visualizing the frequency content of images

The visualization below is the 2D frequency domain equivalent of the 1D audio spectrum I showed you earlier *




Spatial domain result




Spectrum

Constant signal (in primal domain)




Spatial domain




Frequency domain

$\sin(2\pi/32)x$ — frequency 1/32; 32 pixels per cycle




Spatial domain




Frequency domain

$\sin(2\pi/16)x$ — frequency 1/16; 16 pixels per cycle




Spatial domain




Frequency domain

$$\sin(2\pi/16)y$$




Spatial domain




Frequency domain

$$\sin(2\pi/32)x \times \sin(2\pi/16)y$$




Spatial domain




Frequency domain

$$\exp(-r^2/16^2)$$




Spatial domain




Frequency domain

$$\exp(-r^2 / 32^2)$$



Spatial domain







Frequency domain

Question:


$$\exp(-r^2 / 16^2)$$

Why does a “smoother” exponential function look “more compact” in the frequency domain?


$$\exp(-r^2 / 32^2)$$



$$\exp(-x^2/32^2) \times \exp(-y^2/16^2)$$



Spatial domain




Frequency domain

Image filtering (in the frequency domain)

Manipulating the frequency content of images



Spatial domain




Frequency domain

Low frequencies only (smooth gradients)



Spatial domain



Frequency domain


(after low-pass filter)

All frequencies above cutoff have 0 magnitude

Mid-range frequencies



Spatial domain




Frequency domain
(after band-pass filter)

Mid-range frequencies



Spatial domain




Frequency domain
(after band-pass filter)

High frequencies (edges)




Spatial domain
(strongest edges)



Frequency domain
(after high-pass filter)
All frequencies below threshold have 0
magnitude


An image as a sum of its frequency components



=




Back to our problem of artifacts in images




Jaggies!

Higher frequencies need denser sampling



Undersampling creates frequency “aliases”




High-frequency signal is insufficiently sampled: samples erroneously appear to be from a low-frequency signal


Two frequencies that are indistinguishable at a given sampling rate are called “aliases”

Example: sampling rate vs signal frequency

$\sin(2\pi/32)x$ — frequency $1/32$; 32 pixels per cycle



Spatial domain





Frequency domain

Sampling at twice the frequency of the signal: no aliasing! *

* Technically no pre-aliasing. There is post-aliasing if reconstruction is not perfect

Example: sampling rate vs signal frequency

$\sin(2\pi/16)x$ — frequency $1/16$; 16 pixels per cycle



Sampling at same frequency as signal: dramatic aliasing! (due to undersampling)

**Anti-aliasing idea: remove high frequency information from
a signal before sampling it**

Video: point vs antialiased sampling



Single point in time



Motion blurred

Video: point sampling in time



Credit: Aris & cams youtube, <https://youtu.be/NoWwxTktoFs>


30 fps video. 1/800 second exposure is sharp in time, causes time aliasing.

Video: motion-blurred sampling




30 fps video. $1/30$ second exposure is motion-blurred in time, reduces aliasing.

Rasterization is sampling in 2D space



**Note jaggies in rasterized triangle
(pixel values are either red or white: sample is in or out of triangle)**

Anti-aliasing by pre-filtering the signal




Pre-filter

(remove high frequency detail)



Sample

**Note anti-aliased edges of rasterized triangle:
pixel values take intermediate values**



Pre-filtering by “supersampling” then “blurring” (averaging)




Images rendered using one sample per pixel




Anti-aliased results



Benefits of anti-aliasing



Jaggies



Pre-filtered

Filtering = convolution

1D convolution

Signal

1	3	5	3	7	1	3	8	6	4
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Filter

1	2	1
---	---	---

1D convolution

Signal

1	3	5	3	7	1	3	8	6	4
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Filter

1	2	1
---	---	---

$$1 \times 1 + 3 \times 2 + 5 \times 1 = 12$$

Result

12									
----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

1D convolution

Signal

1	3	5	3	7	1	3	8	6	4
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Filter

1	2	1
---	---	---

$$3 \times 1 + 5 \times 2 + 3 \times 1 = 16$$

Result

12	16								
----	----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

1D convolution

Signal

1	3	5	3	7	1	3	8	6	4
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Filter

1	2	1
---	---	---

$$5 \times 1 + 3 \times 2 + 7 \times 1 = 18$$

Result

12	16	18							
----	----	----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Box filter (used in a 2D convolution)

$$\frac{1}{9}$$

1	1	1
1	1	1
1	1	1

Example: 3x3 box filter

2D convolution with box filter blurs the image



Original image

**Blurred
(convolve with box filter)**

Hmm... this reminds me of a low-pass filter...

Discrete 2D convolution

$$(f * g)(x, y) = \sum_{i, j = -\infty}^{\infty} f(i, j) I(x - i, y - j)$$

output image filter input image

Consider $f(i, j)$ that is nonzero only when: $-1 \leq i, j \leq 1$

Then:


$$(f * g)(x, y) = \sum_{i, j = -1}^1 f(i, j) I(x - i, y - j)$$

And we can represent $f(i, j)$ as a 3x3 matrix of values where:

$$f(i, j) = \mathbf{F}_{i, j} \quad (\text{often called: "filter weights", "filter kernel"})$$

Convolution theorem


Convolution in the spatial domain is equal to multiplication in the frequency domain, and vice versa




Convolution theorem

- **Convolution in the spatial domain is equal to multiplication in the frequency domain, and vice versa**
- **Pre-filtering option 1:**
 - **Filter by convolution in the spatial domain**
- **Pre-filtering option 2:**
 - **Transform to frequency domain (Fourier transform)**
 - **Multiply by Fourier transform of convolution kernel**
 - **Transform back to spatial domain (inverse Fourier)**

Box function = "low pass" filter




Spatial domain




Frequency domain

Wider filter kernel = retain only lower frequencies



Spatial domain



Frequency domain

Wider filter kernel = lower frequencies

- As a filter is localized in the spatial domain, it spreads out in frequency domain
- Conversely, as a filter is localized in frequency domain, it spreads out in the spatial domain

How can we reduce aliasing error?

- **Increase sampling rate**
 - **Higher resolution displays, sensors, framebuffers...**
 - **But: costly and may need very high resolution to sufficiently reduce aliasing**
- **Anti-aliasing**
 - **Simple idea: remove (or reduce) high frequencies before sampling**
 - **How to filter out high frequencies before sampling?**

Anti-aliasing by averaging values in pixel area

- **Convince yourself the following are the same:**
- **Option 1:**
 - **Convolve $f(x,y)$ by a 1-pixel box-blur**
 - **Then sample the resulting signal at the center of every pixel**
- **Option 2:**
 - **Compute the average value of $f(x,y)$ in the pixel**


Anti-aliasing by computing average pixel value

In rasterizing one triangle, the value of $f(x,y) = \text{inside}(\text{tri},x,y)$ averaged over the area of a pixel is equal to the amount of the pixel covered by the triangle.

Original




Filtered



←→
1 pixel width

Putting it all together: anti-aliasing via supersampling



Today's summary

- **Drawing a triangle = sampling triangle/screen coverage**
- **Pitfall of sampling: aliasing**
- **Reduce aliasing by prefiltering signal**
 - **Supersample**
 - **Reconstruct via convolution (average coverage over pixel)**
 - **Higher frequencies removed**
 - **Sample reconstructed signal once per pixel**
- **There is much, much more to sampling theory and practice...**
 - **If interested see: Stanford EE261 - The Fourier Transform and its Applications**

Acknowledgements

- Thanks to Ren Ng, Pat Hanrahan, Keenan Crane for slide materials