

**Lecture 19:**

# **Rendering for Virtual Reality**

---

**How not to:**



**Computer Graphics: Rendering, Geometry, and Image Manipulation**  
**Stanford CS248A, Winter 2025**

# VR headsets

Meta Quest 3



Apple Vision Pro



Sony Morpheus



Valve Index



Google  
Daydream




Google  
Cardboard

# VR gaming



# VR teleconference / video chat




VR video



Vaunt VR  
Paul McCartney concert)



# A better display?



# AR headset: Microsoft Hololens




# Snap Spectacles



# AR on a mobile device



# AR “passthrough” on VR headset




# Oculus Quest 2 headset (2020)



# Oculus Quest 2 headset (lens side view)




# Oculus Quest 2 headset




Fan

# Oculus Quest 2 headset



# Oculus Quest 2 headset (Snapdragon SoC)



4 high-performance cores

4 low-performance (low energy) cores

Image processor + DSP

Multi-core graphics processor (GPU) — up to 3000 x 3000 display @ 90 Hz


Additional processor for sensors (IMU etc)

Can process inputs from up to seven simultaneous video camera streams

This diagram is from Snapdragon 865



# Oculus Quest 2 headset




Four cameras

# Oculus Quest 2 headset (lens assembly)





# Oculus Quest 2 display + lens assembly



**What does a lens do?  
How does a camera work?**

# Pinhole camera (no lens)




# What does a lens do?

A lens refracts light.


**Camera with lens: every pixel accumulates all rays of light that pass through lens aperture and refract toward that pixel**

**In-focus camera: all rays of light from a point in the scene arrive at a point on sensor plane**




# “Focus at infinity”

**All rays of light from the same direction that hit the lens end up at the same point.**



# Out of focus camera

**Out of focus camera: rays of light from one point in scene do not converge to the same point on the sensor**



# Bokeh




# Sharp foreground, defocused background

Common technique to emphasize  
subject in a photo




# The eye



# Consider projection of scene object on retina

In diagram: the red dot in scene projects onto point X on back of eye (retina)




# Eye focused at a distance

Plane of focus

Red and yellow cups = in focus


Teal cup = out of focus



# Eye focused at a distance


**Teal cup = out of focus**

Plane of  
focus



# Eye focused up close


Teal cup = in focus




Plane of  
focus

# Accommodation and vergence


**Accommodation: changing the focal length of the eye to focus at different distances**



**Vergence: rotation of eye to ensure projection of object falls in center of retina**




# Screen up close



**Display pixel on my laptop**  
(close up photo)


**Each pixel emits light**



# Role of lenses in VR headset

1. Create wide field of view
2. Place focal plane at several meters away from eye (close to infinity)


Note: parallel lines reaching eye converge to a single point on display (eye accommodates to plane near infinity)



Lens diagram from Open Source VR Project (OSVR)  
(Not the lens system from the Oculus Quest 2)  
<http://www.osvr.org/>


# Accommodation/vergence conflict

- Given design of current VR displays, consider what happens when objects are up-close to eye in virtual scene
  - Eyes must remain accommodated to near infinity (otherwise image on screen won't be in focus)
  - But eyes must converge in attempt to fuse stereoscopic images of object up close
  - Brain receives conflicting depth clues... (discomfort, fatigue, nausea)



This problem stems from nature of display design. If you could just make a display that emits the same rays of light that would be produced by a virtual scene, then you could avoid the accommodation - vergence conflict...

# A better (future) display




Note how this hypothetical display creates the same rays of light as what would be seen in the real environment.

The **same position** on the display emits light with **different colors** in **different directions**. (Current LCD displays emit same color in all directions from each pixel)


The display generates the same “light field” in front of the eye as present in the real scene.

# Need for high resolution


# Resolution: Oculus Quest 2 display



# Need for high resolution



**Human:  $\sim 160^\circ$  view of field per eye ( $\sim 200^\circ$  overall)**  
(Note: this does not account for eye's ability to rotate in socket)



**Future “retina” VR display:**  
**~ 8K x 8K display per eye**  
**= 128 MPixel**

**iPhone 15 Pro: 4.7 in “retina” display:**  
**1179 x 2556 (3 MPixel)**  
**442 ppi  $\rightarrow$  88 ppd**

# Apple Vision Pro

- ~11.4M visible pixels per panel
- 3,386 PPI
- 100 degree field of view
- ~33 PPD



# 16K TVs!!!


15,360 x 8,640 resolution...

~ 132 Mpixel 😱😱😱😱

Forget 8K, Sony's New 63-Foot 16K Crystal LED TV Is Now Available—for a Few Million

The ballpark figure is \$5 million.

By RACHEL CORMACK 



Courtesy of Sony

When a new gogglebox drops, it's always the same drill: The screen gets bigger, the resolution gets better and the design gets bolder. Indeed, it's difficult for a brand to stand out. Unless you're Sony and the new TV your peddling is the size of a New York City public bus and also happens to boasts an unheard-of 16K screen.


Earlier this year when Sony unveiled the colossal 63-foot TV—the biggest 16K screen of its kind—it had commercial cinemas in its sights. But, hey, why should theaters have all the fun?

# Consider bandwidth cost of getting pixels to display


- 132 Mpixel @ 120 Hz x 24 bpp = 354 Gbits/s
- Note: modern display compression technologies (such as Display Stream Compression — DSC 1.2a) provide ~ 3:1 compression
  - Reduces need to 118 Gbits/s bandwidth
- Now consider *energy cost* of *transmitting pixels* to display at this rate
  - Rough estimate: ~ 100 pico-Joules per bit transferred \*
  - $100 \text{ Pj/bit} \times 118 \text{ Gbit/s} = 11.8 \text{ J/s} = 11.8 \text{ W}$
  - Snapdragon SoC in Oculus Quest 2 designed for TDP of ~ 5W

\* Signaling technologies undergo rapid improvement, feasible to see 1pJ/bit in the next decade


# The eye



# Density of rod and cone cells in the retina



- Cones are color receptive cells
- Highest density of cones is in fovea  
(best color vision at center of where human is looking)
- Implication: human eye has low spatial resolution away from fovea (*opportunity to reduce computation by computing less in these areas*)




[Roorda 1999]

# Reducing rendering cost via foveated rendering

**Idea: track user's gaze using an eye tracker, render with increasingly lower resolution farther away from gaze point**

VR headset with eye tracker:  
HTC Vive Pro Eye



# Eye tracking based solutions

- Given gaze information, many rendering-cost reducing strategies
  - Use low resolution rendering away from point of gaze
  - More practical: perform part of the rendering computation at lower frequency (lower-rate shading, reduce texture LOD etc.) \*
- Fundamental problem: accurate low-latency eye tracking is challenging
  - Abnormal eyes, etc.


\* We'll come back to this in a second when we talk about lens matched shading

# **Accounting for distortion due to design of head-mounted display**

# Lenses introduce distortion

## Lenses introduce distortion

- **Pincushion distortion**
- **Chromatic aberration (different wavelengths of light refract by different amount)**




# Rendered output must compensate for distortion of lens in front of display





Step 1: render scene using traditional graphics pipeline at full resolution for each eye

Step 2: warp images so rendering is viewed correctly when screen viewed under lens distortion

(Can apply different distortion to R, G, B to approximate correction for chromatic aberration)



# Problem: rendering at higher resolution than needed at periphery





Performing unnecessary rendering work in the periphery due to:

1. Warp to reduce optical distortion (result: sample shading more densely in the periphery than in center of screen)
2. Eye has less spatial resolution in periphery (assuming viewer's gaze is toward center of screen)

# Modern solution: lens matched shading


- Render scene with four viewports, each has different projection matrix
- “Compresses” scene in the periphery (fewer samples), while not affecting scene near center of field of view



# **Need for low latency**

## **(End-to-end head motion to photon latency)**

**How not to:**



# Need for low latency

- The goal of a VR graphics system is to achieve “presence”, tricking the brain into thinking what it is seeing is real
- Achieving presence requires an exceptionally low-latency system
  - What you see must change when you move your head!
  - **End-to-end latency: time from moving your head to the time new photons from the display hit your eyes**
    - Measure user's head movement
    - Update scene/camera position
    - Render new image
    - Perform any distortion corrections
    - Transfer image to display in headset
    - Actually emit light from display (photons hit user's eyes)
  - **Latency goal of VR: 10-25 ms**
    - **Requires exceptionally low-latency head tracking**
    - **Requires exceptionally low-latency rendering and display**

# Thought experiment: effect of latency

- Consider a 1,000 x 1,000 display spanning 100° field of view
  - 10 pixels per degree
- Assume:
  - You move your head 90° in 1 second (only modest speed)
  - End-to-end latency of graphics system is 33 ms (1/30 sec)
    - In other words, the time from you moving your head to the display emitting light for a frame that reflects that movement.
- Therefore:
  - Displayed pixels are off by 3° ~ 30 pixels from where they would be in an ideal system with 0 latency

# “Outside in” tracking: Oculus CV1 IR camera and IR LEDs (Early headset technology)

**Headset contains:**

**IR LEDs (tracked by camera)**

**Gyro + accelerometer (1000Hz). (rapid relative positioning)**





**60Hz IR Camera**  
**(measures absolute position**  
**of headset 60 times a second)**



# Most modern systems use “inside out” tracking

- Wide-angle cameras look outward from headset
- Use computer vision (SLAM) to estimate 3D structure of world and position/orientation of camera in the world
- These cameras also track the position/orientation of the controllers
  - Quest 2 controllers have 15 infrared LEDs to aid tracking




View of controller through  
infrared camera  
(credit Adam Savage's Testbed)

# Frame life cycle

## ■ Goal: maintain as low latency as possible under challenging rendering conditions:


- Battery-powered device (not a high-end desktop CPU/GPU)
- High-resolution outputs (+ both left and right eye views)
- Implication: can take awhile to render a frame 😱




# Frame life cycle

## ■ Goal: maintain as low latency as possible under challenging rendering conditions:

- Battery-powered device (not a high-end desktop CPU/GPU)
- High-resolution outputs (+ both left and right eye views)
- Implication: can take awhile to render a frame 😱




# Frame life cycle




- **Key ideas:**
  - Game state updated on “predicted” tracking info
  - Re-update head/controller tracking predictions right before drawing
  - Start next frame (frame 1 in this example) at last possible moment that gives it time to finish before target display time

# Reducing latency via reprojection



- Key idea (“time warp”): after rendering is complete, re-project rendered image to produce view of scene from most recent head position
- Accurate re-projection requires both rendered image and its depth map

# Reprojection




ASW 2.0

Stanford CS248A, Winter 2025

# Increasing *frame rate* via reprojection

Example: app with higher cost rendering


Per-frame GPU rendering time  $\sim 1.2x$  of time between display frames



- Store last rendered frame
- If new frame not ready at time of next display, warp that last completed frame


**Accounting for interaction of:  
display update +  
display attached to head**

# Consider projection of scene object on retina



Here: object projects onto point X on back of eye (retina)

# Consider object position relative to eye



**Case 1: object stationary relative to eye:**

eye still and red object still

OR


red object moving left-to-right and

eye rotating to track object

OR

red object stationary in world but head moving

and eye rotating to track object




**Case 2: object moving relative to eye:**

(red object moving from left to right but  
eye stationary, i.e., it's focused on a different  
stationary point in world)


**NOTE: THESE GRAPHS PLOT OBJECT POSITION RELATIVE TO EYE**

**RAPID HEAD MOTION WITH EYES TRACKING A MOVING OBJECT IS A FORM OF CASE 1!!!**


# Effect of latency: judder



# Reducing judder: increase frame rate



# Reducing judder: low persistence display



red object moving left-to-right and  
eye moving to track object

OR

red object stationary but head moving  
and eye moving to track object

Full-persistence display: pixels emit light for entire frame

Low-persistence display: pixels emit light for small fraction of frame

Oculus Rift CV1 low-persistence display


- 90 Hz frame rate ( $\sim 11$  ms per frame)
- Pixel persistence = 2-3ms

# Artifacts due to rolling backlight

- Image rendered based on scene state at time  $t_0$
- Image sent to display, ready for output at time  $t_0 + \Delta t$
- “Rolling backlight” OLED display lights up rows of pixels in sequence
  - Let  $r$  be amount of time to “scan out” a row
  - Row 0 photons hit eye at  $t_0 + \Delta t$
  - Row 1 photons hit eye at  $t_0 + \Delta t + r$
  - Row 2 photons hit eye at  $t_0 + \Delta t + 2r$
- Implication: photons emitted from bottom rows of display are “more stale” than photons from the top!
- Consider eye moving horizontally relative to display (e.g., due to head movement while tracking square object that is stationary in world)

**Result: perceived shear!**

Similar to rolling shutter effects on modern digital cameras.



# What you want to see



# What you do see (sheared image)... lower rows of image are increasingly “stale”

(User is rotating head to left — so scene should be shifting to right...  
but bottom of screen is “lagging” and not updated to be consistent with head movement )




# Compensating for rolling backlight

- **Perform post-process shear on rendered image**
  - Similar to previously discussed barrel distortion and chromatic warps
  - Predict head motion, assume fixation on static object in scene
    - Only compensates for shear due to head motion, not object motion
- **Render each row of image at a different time (the predicted time photons will hit eye)**
  - Suggests exploration of different rendering algorithms that are more amenable to fine-grained temporal sampling, e.g., ray tracing? (each row of camera rays samples scene at a different time)

# **Reducing bulky form factor + AR**

# Glasses form factor (for AR applications)



Google Glass (2013)



Qualcomm “AR smartviewer” glasses  
(tethered to smartphone)



Additional announcements (or rumors)  
by Google, Apple, etc all suggesting they  
are making AR glasses.




Snap Spectacles v4 (2021)


(Snap reports 30 minute battery life)

# AR / VR summary

- **Very difficult technical challenge**
- **Interest in glasses form factor will place considerably more pressure on system efficiency**



VS.



- **Many new challenges of AR:**
  - **Rendering to a display that “overlays” on the real world (how to draw black?)**
  - **Intelligently interpreting the world to know what content to put on the display**
  - **Ethical/privacy questions about applications**