# Lecture 2: The Camera Image **Processing Pipeline**

**Visual Computing Systems** Stanford CS348K, Spring 2021

## Theme of the next two lectures...

### The pixels you see on screen are quite different than the values recorded by the sensor in a modern digital camera.

**Computation is a fundamental aspect of producing high-quality pictures.** 



### **Part 1: image sensing hardware** (how a digital camera measures light, and how physical limitations of these devices place challenges on software)

### **Camera cross section**



Image credit: Canon (EOS M)

### Stanford CS348K, Spring 2021

### Canon 14 MP CMOS Sensor (14 bits per pixel)



### Sensor

### **Camera cross section**



Image credit: https://www.dpreview.com/news/3717128828/the-future-is-bright-technology-trends-in-mobile-photography

## The Sensor

## **Photoelectric effect**



### Einstein's Nobel Prize in 1921 "for his services to Theoretical Physics, and especially for his discovery of the law of the photoelectric effect"

Slide credit: Ren Ng



**Albert Einstein** 

### **CMOS sensor**



# **CMOS APS (active pixel sensor) pixel**



Illustration credit: Molecular Expressions (<u>http://micro.magnet.fsu.edu/primer/digitalimaging/cmosimagesensors.html</u>)

## **CMOS response functions are linear**

**Photoelectric effect in silicon:** 

- **Response function from** photons to electrons is linear

(Some nonlinearity close to 0 due to noise and when close to pixel saturation)



Slide credit: Ren Ng



100

(Epperson, P.M. et al. Electro-optical characterization of the Tektronix TK5 ..., Opt Eng., 25, 1987)

## Quantum efficiency

- Not all photons will produce an electron
  - Depends on quantum efficiency of the device

$$QE = \frac{\# electrons}{\# photons}$$

- Human vision: ~15%
- Typical digital camera: < 50%
- Best back-thinned CCD: >90% (e.g., telescope)

# Sensing Color

# **Electromagnetic spectrum** Describes distribution of power (energy/time) by wavelength

### Below: spectrum of various common light sources:





## Example: warm white vs. cool white



Image credit: (Oz Lighting: https://www.ozlighting.com.au/blog/what-is-warm-white-versus-cool-white/)

## Simple model of a light detector



**Figure credit: Steve Marschner** 

## Spectral response of cone cells in human eye

Three types of cells in eye responsible for color perception: S, M, and L cones (corresponding to peak response at short, medium, and long wavelengths)

Implication: the space of human-perceivable colors is three dimensional





**Response functions for S, M, and L cones** 

## Human eye cone cell mosaic



False color image: red = L conesgreen = M cones blue = R cones

### Image Credit: Ramkumar Sabesan Lab

# **Color filter array (Bayer mosaic)**

- Color filter array placed over sensor
- **Result: different pixels have different spectral response (each pixel** measures red, green, or blue light)
- 50% of pixels are green pixels



Image credit: Wikipedia, Christian Buil (http://www.astrosurf.com/~buil/cameras.htm)

### **Pixel response curve: Canon 40D/50D**



## Light incident on camera



### What sensor measures

### **Defective pixel**



### What sensor measures (zoomed view)

## **CMOS Pixel Structure**

## Front-side-illuminated (FSI) CMOS

### Building up the CMOS imager layers

Courtesy R. Motta, Pixim















## **Pixel fill factor**

### Fraction of pixel area that integrates incoming light



Slide credit: Ren Ng

## **CMOS** sensor pixel



Illustration credit: Molecular Expressions (<u>http://micro.magnet.fsu.edu/primer/digitalimaging/cmosimagesensors.html</u>)

### **Color filter attenuates light**

### Microlens (a.k.a. lenslet) steers light toward photo-sensitive region (increases light-gathering capability)

### **Advanced question: Microlens also** serves to reduce aliasing signal. Why?

## Using micro lenses to improve fill factor



### Shifted microlenses on M9 sensor.

Slide credit: Ren Ng



### Leica M9

## **Optical cross-talk**



corresponding pixel and reach only the adjacent pixel. Or they are shadowed or reflected on the way to the pixel with the effect that the overall amount of light received by the pixels is less than the amount arriving through the microlenses.

Slide credit: Ren Ng http://gmpphoto.blogspot.com/2012/09/the-new-leica-max-24mp-cmos-sensor.html

## Pixel optics for minimizing cross-talk

Sensor architecture of the Leica Max 24 MP sensor (schematic diagram)

- 1 Microlens design with varying radius
- 2 Relatively short distance between color filter and photodiode



is enabled by the special microlens design and the smaller distance between the colour filter and photodiode, which allows more light to enter the system, and ensures that it falls more directly on the respective photodiodes.

Slide credit: Ren Ng http://gmpphoto.blogspot.com/2012/09/the-new-leica-max-24mp-cmos-sensor.html

## **Backside illumination sensor**

- **Traditional CMOS: electronics block light**
- Idea: move electronics underneath light gathering region
  - **Increases fill factor**
  - **Reduces cross-talk due since photodiode closer to microns**
  - Implication 1: better light sensitivity at fixed sensor size
  - Implication 2: equal light sensitivity at smaller sensor size (shrink sensor)



**Illustration credit: Sony**
### **Pixel saturation and noise**

# Saturated pixels

# Photon count for pixels has saturated (no detail in image)

FT MAY WALF 11-

# Full-well capacity

Pixel saturates when photon capacity is exceeded



Electrons

### Saturated pixels



### **Bigger sensors = bigger pixels (or more pixels?)**



### Measurement noise



We've all been frustrated by noise in low-light photographs (or in shadows in day time images)



### Measurement noise

**Grand Teton National Park** 



### Measurement noise

**Grand Teton National Park** 



# Sources of measurement noise

### **Photon shot noise:**

- Photon arrival rate takes on Poisson distribution
- Standard deviation = sqrt(N) (N = number of photon arrivals)
- Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) = N/sqrt(N)
- Implication: brighter the signal, the higher the SNR
- **Dark-shot noise** 
  - Due to leakage current in sensor
  - Electrons dislodged due to thermal activity (increases exponentially with sensor temperature)
- Non-uniformity of pixel sensitivity (due to manufacturing defects)
- **Read noise** 
  - e.g., due to amplification / ADC

### **Dark shot noise / read noise** Black image examples: Nikon D7000, High ISO



### **1 sec exposure**

# Read noise



Read noise is largely independent of pixel size Large pixels + bright scene = large N So, noise determined largely by photon shot noise

Image credit: clarkvision.com

# Maximize light gathering capability

### Goal: increase signal-to-noise ratio

- Dynamic range of a pixel (ratio of brightest light measurable to dimmest light measurable) is determined by the noise floor (minimum signal) and the pixel's full-well capacity (maximum signal)

### Use big pixels

- Nikon D4: 7.3 um
- iPhone X: 1.2 um

### **Manufacture sensitive pixels**

- Good materials
- High fill factor

# Artifacts arising from lenses

# Vignetting

### This is a photograph of a white wall

(Note: I contrast-enhanced the image to show effect more prominently)



# **Types of vignetting**

**Optical vignetting: less light reaches edges of sensor due to physical obstruction in lens** 



Pixel vignetting: light reaching pixel at an oblique angle is less likely to hit photosensitive region than light incident from straight above (e.g., obscured by electronics)

**Microlens reduces pixel vignetting** 

# Chromatic aberration

Different wavelengths of light are refracted by different amounts







### Image credit: Wikipedia

# More challenges

### Chromatic shifts over sensor

- Pixel light sensitivity changes over sensor due to interaction with microlens (Index of refraction depends on wavelength, so some wavelengths are more likely to suffer from cross-talk or reflection. Ug!)
- Lens distortion



**Pincushion distortion** 



### **Captured Image**

**Corrected Image** 

# The message so far

Physical constraints of image formation by a camera create artifacts in the recorded image

We are going to rely on processing to reduce / correct for these artifacts

# A simple RAW image processing pipeline

Given the physical reality of how a lens+sensor system works, now let's look at how software transforms raw sensor output into a high-quality RGB image.

# **Optical clamp: remove sensor offset bias**

output\_pixel = input\_pixel - [average of pixels from optically black region]



Masked pixels

Active pixels

### **Remove bias due to sensor black level** (from nearby sensor pixels at time of shot)

# **Correct for defective pixels**

- Store LUT with known defective pixels
  - e.g., determined on manufacturing line, during sensor calibration and test

### Example correction methods

- Replace defective pixel with neighbor
- Replace defective pixel with average of neighbors
- Correct defect by subtracting known bias for the defect

output\_pixel = (isdefectpixel(current\_pixel\_xy)) ? average(previous\_input\_pixel, next\_input\_pixel) : input\_pixel;

### Will describe solutions based only analyzing pixel values (later)

# Lens shading compensation

### Correct for vignetting artifacts

- Good implementations will consider wavelength-dependent vignetting (that creates chromatic shift over the image)
- Possible implementations:
  - Use "flat-field photo" stored in memory
    - e.g., lower resolution buffer, upsampled on-the-fly
    - Use analytic function to model required correction

gain = upsample\_compensation\_gain\_buffer(current\_pixel\_xy); output\_pixel = gain \* input\_pixel;

### Need to invert the vignetting effect



## Demosiac

- **Produce RGB image from mosaiced input image**
- **Basic algorithm: bilinear interpolation of mosaiced values (need 4 neighbors)**
- More advanced algorithms:
  - Bicubic interpolation (wider filter support region . . . may overblur)
  - Good implementations attempt to find and preserve edges in photo









What will demosaiced result look like if this black and white signal was captured by the sensor?





### (Visualization of signal and Bayer pattern)





### No red measured.

### Interpolation of green yields dark/light pattern.

# Why color fringing?



What will demosaiced result look like if this black and white signal was captured by the sensor?

# Why color fringing?



### (Visualization of signal and Bayer pattern)

- **Common difficult case: fine diagonal black and white stripes**
- **Result: moire pattern color artifacts**





**RAW data** from sensor

### **RGB result after** demosaic



### Y'CbCr color space

**Recall: colors are represented as point in 3-space** 



Y' =	16 +	$65.738 \cdot R'_{D_{-1}}$	$129.057\cdot G_D'$
		256	256
$C_B =$	128 +	$-37.945 \cdot R'_{D}$	$\underline{74.494 \cdot G'_D}$
		256	256
$C_R =$	128 +	$112.439 \cdot R'_{D}$	$94.154 \cdot G'_D$
		256	256

### Image credit: Wikipedia

### **RGB** is just one possible basis for representing color Y'CbCr separates luminance from hue in representation

 $18.285 \cdot B'_D$ 

256

# **Better demosaic**

- Convert demosaiced RGB value to YCbCr
- Low-pass filter (blur) or median filter CbCr channels
- Combine filtered CbCr with full resolution Y from sensor to get RGB
- Trades off spatial resolution of hue to avoid objectionable color fringing

# White balance

Adjust relative intensity of rgb values (goal: make neutral tones in scene appear neutral in image)

output\_pixel = white\_balance\_coeff \* input\_pixel // note: in this example, white\_balance\_coeff is vec3 // (adjusts ratio of red-blue-green channels)

The same "white" object will generate different sensor response when illuminated by different spectra. Camera needs to infer what the lighting in the scene was.



Image credit: basedigitalphotography.com







# White balance algorithms

### White balance coefficients depend on analysis of image contents

- Calibration based: get value of pixel of "white" object: (r<sub>w</sub>, g<sub>w</sub>, b<sub>w</sub>)
  - Scale all pixels by (1/r<sub>w</sub>, 1/g<sub>w</sub>, 1/b<sub>w</sub>)
- Heuristic based: camera must guesse which pixels correspond to white objects in scene
  - Gray world assumption: make average of all pixels in image gray
  - Brightest pixel assumption: find brightest region of image, make it white ([1,1,1])
- Modern white-balance algorithms are based on learning correct scaling from examples
  - Create database of images for which good white balance settings are known (e.g., manually set by human)
  - Learning mapping from image features to white balance settings
  - When new photo is taken, use learned model to predict good white balance settings



### Scale r,g,b values so these pixels are (1,1,1)

### Denoising





# Denoised
## Denoising via downsampling





Downsample via point sampling (noise remains)





### Downsample via averaging (bilinear resampling)

**Noise reduced** 

# Before talking about denoising...

# Aside: image processing basics

## **Review: convolution**



It may be helpful to consider the effect of convolution with the simple unit-area "box" function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| \le 0.5\\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$
$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} g(x - y) dy$$
$$f * g \text{ is a "blurred" version of } g$$



 $\mathcal{Y}$ 

## **Discrete 2D convolution**



Consider f(i, j) that is nonzero only when:  $-1 \le i, j \le 1$ Then:  $(f * g)(x, y) = \sum f(i, j)I(x - i, y - j)$ i, j = -1

And we can represent f(i,j) as a 3x3 matrix of values where:

$$f(i,j) = \mathbf{F}_{i,j}$$
 (often called: "fi



ilter weights", "filter kernel")

## Simple 3x3 box blur in code

float input[(WIDTH+2) \* (HEIGHT+2)];
float output[WIDTH \* HEIGHT];

for (int j=0; j<HEIGHT; j++) {
 for (int i=0; i<WIDTH; i++) {
 float tmp = 0.f;
 for (int jj=0; jj<3; jj++)
 for (int ii=0; ii<3; ii++)
 tmp += input[(j+jj)\*(WIDTH+2) + (i+ii)] \* weights[jj\*3 + ii];
 output[j\*WIDTH + i] = tmp;
 }
</pre>

For now: ignore boundary pixels and assume output image is smaller than input (makes convolution loop bounds much simpler to write)

## 7x7 box blur









## **Gaussian blur**

**Obtain filter coefficients from sampling 2D Gaussian** 

$$f(i,j) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{i^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

- Produces weighted sum of neighboring pixels (contribution falls off with distance)
  - In practice: truncate filter beyond certain distance for efficiency



### Note: this is a 5x5 truncated Gaussian filter

## 7x7 gaussian blur









## 3x3 sharpen filter





$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$





## What does convolution with these filters do?



**Extracts horizontal** gradients



### **Extracts vertical** gradients

## Gradient detection filters





### **Horizontal gradients**

### **Vertical gradients**

Note: you can think of a filter as a "detector" of a pattern, and the magnitude of a pixel in the output image as the "response" of the filter to the region surrounding each pixel in the input image (this is a common interpretation in computer vision)

## Sobel edge detection

Compute gradient response images

$$G_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * I$$
$$G_{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} * I$$

Find pixels with large gradients

 $G = \sqrt{G_x^2 + G_y^2}$ 

**Pixel-wise operation on images** 



Stanford CS348K, Spring 2021

 $G_{\rm x}$ 

### Gy

G

## **Data-dependent filter (not a convolution)**

```
float input[(WIDTH+2) * (HEIGHT+2)];
float output[WIDTH * HEIGHT];
```

```
for (int j=0; j<HEIGHT; j++) {</pre>
   for (int i=0; i<WIDTH; i++) {</pre>
      float min_value = min( min(input[(j-1)*WIDTH + i], input[(j+1)*WIDTH + i]),
                              min(input[j*WIDTH + i-1], input[j*WIDTH + i+1]) );
      float max_value = max( max(input[(j-1)*WIDTH + i], input[(j+1)*WIDTH + i]),
                              max(input[j*WIDTH + i-1], input[j*WIDTH + i+1]) );
      output[j*WIDTH + i] = clamp(min_value, max_value, input[j*WIDTH + i]);
    }
}
```

### This filter clamps pixels to the min/max of its cardinal neighbors (e.g., hot-pixel suppression — no need for a lookup table)

## **Median filter**

### **Replace pixel with median of its neighbors**

Useful noise reduction filter: unlike gaussian blur, one bright pixel doesn't drag up the average for entire region

### Not linear, not separable

Filter weights are 1 or 0 (depending on image content)

```
uint8 input[(WIDTH+2) * (HEIGHT+2)];
uint8 output[WIDTH * HEIGHT];
for (int j=0; j<HEIGHT; j++) {</pre>
   for (int i=0; i<WIDTH; i++) {</pre>
      output[j*WIDTH + i] =
           // compute median of pixels
           // in surrounding 5x5 pixel window
```





### **Basic algorithm for NxN support region:**

- Sort N<sup>2</sup> elements in support region, then pick median: O(N<sup>2</sup>log(N<sup>2</sup>)) work per pixel
- Can you think of an O(N<sup>2</sup>) algorithm? What about O(N)?

original image



1px median filter

3px median filter



10px median filter

## **Bilateral filter**





Processed





- The bilateral filter is an "edge preserving" filter: down-weight contribution of pixels on the "other side" of strong edges. f(x) defines what "strong edge means"
- Spatial distance weight term f(x) could itself be a gaussian
  - Or very simple: f(x) = 0 if x > threshold, 1 otherwise

Value of output pixel (x,y) is the weighted sum of all pixels in the support region of a truncated gaussian kernel

But weight is combination of <u>spatial distance</u> and <u>input image pixel intensity</u> difference. (non-linear filter: like the median filter, the filter's weights depend on input image content)

## **Bilateral filter**



Figure credit: Durand and Dorsey, "Fast Bilateral Filtering for the Display of High-Dynamic-Range Images", SIGGRAPH 2002

Pixels with significantly different intensity as *p* contribute little to filtered result (they are "on the "other side of the edge"

f(): Influence of support region

### **Bilateral filter: kernel depends on image content**



See Paris et al. [ECCV 2006] for a fast approximation to the bilateral filter

### Question: describe a type of edge the bilateral filter will not respect (it will blur across these edges)

Figure credit: SIGGRAPH 2008 Course: "A Gentle Introduction to Bilateral Filtering and its Applications" Paris et al.



# Denoising using non-local means

Main assumption: images have repeating texture Main idea: replace pixel with average value of nearby pixels that have a similar surrounding region

$$NL[I](p) = \sum_{q \in S} w(p,q)I(q)$$

$$w(p,q) = \frac{1}{C_p} e^{\frac{-\|N_p - N_q\|^2}{h^2}}$$

- N<sub>p</sub> and N<sub>q</sub> are vectors of pixel values in square window around pixels p and q (highlighted regions in figure)
- Difference between  $N_p$  and  $P_q =$  "similarity" of surrounding regions (here: L2 distance)
- Cp is a normalization constant to ensure weights sum to one for pixel p.
- S is the search region (given by dotted red line in figure)



Np

# **Denoising using non-local means**

- Large weight for input pixels that have similar neighborhood as p
  - Intuition: "filtered result is the average of pixels like this one"
  - In example below-right: q1 and q2 have high weight, q3 has low weight



In each image pair above:

- Image at left shows the pixel to denoise.
- Image at right shows weights of pixels in 21x21pixel kernel support window.



Buades et al. CVPR 2005

# End of aside on image processing basics (back to our simple camera pipeline)

### Low light conditions need long exposure... blur due to camera shake

Image credit: https://www.colorexpertsbd.com/blog/how-to-fix-blurry-photos-induced-by-camera-shake-in-photoshop



### Low light photo: many regions underexposed (short exposure) to avoid blur + some regions overexposed



# Brightened image to see detail in dark regions, notice noise in dark regions





### Long exposure: walking people are blurred...

### Long exposure: walking people are blurred...







### Also: still significant noise in dark regions



## Idea: merge sequence of captures

**Algorithm used in Google Pixel Phones [Hasinoff 16]** 

- Long exposure: reduces noise (acquires more light), but introduces blur (camera shake or scene movement)
- Short exposure: sharper image, but lower signal/noise ratio
- Idea: take sequence of short exposures, but align images in software, then merge them into a single sharp image with high signal to noise ratio

after shutter press



burst of raw frames

### full-resolution align & merge

# Align and merge algorithm

**Image pair** 



[Image credit: Hasinoff 16]

- flow, and sum



### For each image in burst, align to reference frame (use sharpest photo as reference frame) - Compute optical flow field aligning image pair Simple merge algorithm: warp images according to

### More sophisticated techniques only merge pixels where confidence in alignment is high (tolerate noisy reference pixels when alignment fails)

## Results of align and merge

Successful alignment





**Reference frame** 

Temporal mean of images in burst (blurry)

Temporal mean with alignment

[Image credit: Hasinoff 16]

### [Hasinoff 16]

Robust merge with alignment

## Summary: simplified image processing pipeline

- **Correct pixel defects**
- Align and merge (to create high signal to noise ration RAW image)
- **Correct for sensor bias (using measurements of optically black pixels)**
- **Vignetting compensation**
- White balance
- Demosaic
- Denoise
- Gamma Correction (non-linear mapping)
- Local tone mapping
- Final adjustments sharpen, fix chromatic aberrations, hue adjust, etc.

(10-12 bits per pixel) 1 intensity value per pixel **Pixel values linear in energy** 

**3x10 bits per pixel RGB** intensity per pixel **Pixel values linear in energy** 

3x8-bits per pixel **Pixel values perceptually linear** 

## Acknowledgements

### Thanks and credit for slides to Ren Ng and Marc Levoy