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Many exciting opportunities, but also many issues with emerging class of generative AI technologies

- Quality/diversity of output images
- Performance (cost of training and cost of image generation)
- User control and creative workflow
- Ethics / social aspects
Suppose you are given a data of images $x_i$

- You’ll like to draw a sample according to the underlying data distribution $p(x)$
**Diffusion-based image synthesis**

Iterative Markov-chain Monte-carlo (MCMC) process to generate a sample $x$ (an image) from distribution $p(x)$ of observed images

**Forward diffusion: iteratively add noise**

$$q(x_t | x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t} x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$$
Diffusion-based image synthesis

Reverse: iteratively remove noise from random sample to obtain image from $p(x)$

$$x_{i+1} \leftarrow x_i + \epsilon \nabla_x \log p(x) + \sqrt{2\epsilon} \ z_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \cdots, T$$

$\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
Guided diffusion

- Assume we know \( p(y \mid x) \) for random variables \( x \) and \( y \).
  - Example: \( x \) is an image, \( y \) is a string describing the image
  - Given an image \( (x) \), infer a caption \( (y) \)
    \[
    p(x \mid y) = \frac{p(x)p(y \mid x)}{\int p(x)p(y \mid x) \, dx} \quad \text{(Bayes Rule)}
    \]

Bayes for score function

\[
\nabla_x \log p(x \mid y) = \nabla_x \log p(x) + \nabla_x \log p(y \mid x)
\]

(Unguided score function)
Modify image \( x \) so that image is more likely [to come from the training set]

(Prompt guidance)
Modify image \( x \) to make the prompt a more likely description of the image
Controlling the output of diffusion models
**img2img (enabling image-based guidance)**

1. Start with a guide image (a target)
2. Add “small” amount of noise
3. Iteratively denoise to produce sample from $p(x)$

"Guide toward a visual target"
Inpainting (apply [new] prompt to a region)

User specifies mask for region of interest and text prompt for that region.

Image outside of region remains almost the same.
Use change in text prompt to trigger change in image

“A basket full of apples.”

Source image

apples $\rightarrow$ cookies

apples $\rightarrow$ oranges

apples $\rightarrow$ chocolates

apples $\rightarrow$ kittens

“A photo of a butterfly on a flower.”

Source image

flower $\rightarrow$ bread

butterfly $\rightarrow$ bird

butterfly $\rightarrow$ snail

butterfly $\rightarrow$ drone
“Masks” come from learned attention

- Use attention masks from original generation process to constrain what pixels can change after prompt is edited
Using text to describe how to change the image

“Swap sunflowers with roses”

“Add fireworks to the sky”

“Replace the fruits with cake”

“What would it look like if it were snowing?”

“Turn it into a still from a western”

“Make his jacket out of leather”
Leveraging layer information to control composition

Prompt + a rgba per layer

Key idea: layer-based edited is a tried and true way to manipulate an image’s composition.
- User manipulates layers
- Model receives per-layer information, and leverages this information to generate a globally harmonious image
Leveraging layer information to control composition

“A bento box with rice, edamame, ginger, and sushi.”
Leveraging layer information to control composition

“A bento box with rice, edamame, ginger, and sushi.”
ControlNet: compositional control via images

Input (Canny Edge) | Default | Automatic Prompt | User Prompt
---|---|---|---
"a man with beard sitting with two children" | "mother and two boys in a room, masterpiece, artwork"
"a building in a city street" | "inside a gorgeous 19th century church"
astronaut |
"music"
Loose control

Key idea: user does not want to (or may not have capability to) specific visual controls precisely. Just have the user “block out” the basic shape of the scene.
Sketch-to-image

Tight control (ControlNet)

Looser control (Blended Renoising)
Creating "partial-sketch" training data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>20% lines</th>
<th>60% lines</th>
<th>80% lines</th>
<th>100% lines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shoes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Mugs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Partial Sketch

ControlNet
(Trained on full sketches)

ControlNet
(Trained on partial sketch data)
Specialization to a concept

Input images

A [V] dog in the Versailles hall of mirrors
A [V] dog in the gardens of Versailles
A [V] dog in Coachella
A [V] dog in mountain Fuji
A [V] dog with Eiffel Tower in the background
Specialization to a concept
Reminder: key aspect in the design of any system
Choosing the “right” representations for the job

- Good representations are productive to use:
  - Embody a “preferred” way of thinking about a problem

- Good representations enable the system to provide **useful services**:
  - Validating/providing certain guarantees (correctness, resource bounds, conversion of quantities, type checking)
  - Performance optimizations (parallelization, vectorization, use of specialized hardware)
  - Implementations of common, difficult-to-implement functionality (complex array indexing code, texture mapping in 3D graphics, auto-differentiation, etc.)
Key takeaways

- What is the type of control that aligns with the users thought process / mental model
  - Text is often an ambiguous, imprecise, or flat out inefficient way to describe visual intent

- Examples:
  - Users want to control spatial composition
    - “Dog on the left” vs. dragging a layer to the right location
  - Users want to “block out” an idea, and have the diffusion model “fill in the details”, “correct proportions”, “harmonize the image”
  - Users want to express intent via an example: “I want it to look LIKE THIS!”
Key takeaways

- What is the type of control that aligns with the users thought process / mental model
  - Text is often an ambiguous, imprecise, or flat out inefficient way to describe visual intent

- Much active research on teaching a model to follow a specific intent
  - One key strategy: dataset engineering to create pairs (control input, expected output)
    - Image analysis used to create the “control input” part of the pair: depth image, partial sketch, etc.
Next time

- Visual design tools are often most useful if they provide immediate / interactive feedback
- Efficiency and performance matter!